

# **Master Brewers Conference**

# A novel cytometry-based Lactobacillus counting method for the production of kettle sour beer

Matthew Hodgkin<sup>1</sup>, Suzanne Purseglove<sup>3</sup>, Dmitry Kuksin<sup>3</sup>, Leo L. Chan<sup>3</sup>, Jennifer Perry<sup>2</sup>, Jason Bolton<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Cooperative Extension, School of Food and Agriculture, University of Maine, Orono, ME 04469 <sup>2</sup>Food Science and Human Nutrition, School of Food and Agriculture, University of Maine, Orono, ME 04469 <sup>3</sup>Department of Technology R&D, Revvity Health Sciences, Inc., Lawrence, MA 01843

#### **1. ABSTRACT**

Craft beer has enjoyed a tremendous growth over the last decade. As more craft breweries open, the desire for more flavors of beer also grow, which may require new methods to monitor the production and quality of the products. The majority of the craft beers are fermented using a variety of strains of yeasts, which can be counted using manual hemacytometer or automatically counted using image cytometers such as the Cellometer X2. In the recent years, craft breweries have begun to introduce bacteria for new flavors. One such beer, the kettle sour beer, has grown in popularity. This beer utilizes a combined fermentation process, where lactic acid bacteria is allowed to first ferment for some time, before pitching the yeast to complete the product.

Traditionally, bacterial particles have to be counted using colony outgrowth on an agar plate to determine the concentrations or using a microscope with high magnification to count cells in hemacytometer. However, these methods are time-consuming, highly tedious, and large operatordependent error.

#### L. brevis High Concentration Low Concentration ( E 1.00E+09 $y = 7E + 08x^{-0.966}$ $R^2 = 0.9892$ <u>5</u> 1.00E+08 E 1.00E+06 ੇ 1.00E+05 Con 1000 10000 100 **Dilution Factor** L. bulgaricus L. plantarum (<u>)</u> 1.00E+10 = 1.00E+10 $v = 4E + 09x^{-0.993}$ = 5E+09x<sup>-0.5</sup> $R^2 = 1$ $R^2 = 0.9975$ ∽ 1.00E+09

**5. LINEARITY RESULTS AND COMPARABILITY TO PLATING** 

In this work, we have developed an image cytometry-based bacteria counting method to measure and monitor three lactobacilli strains in a kettle sour beer fermentation environment. We developed the counting methods using fluorescent stains SytoBC and Syto 9 to directly count L. plantarum, L. bulgaricus, and L. brevis. The three strains were grown for 24 hours in MRS broth and counted at different titrations. The concentrations of the serial dilutions were evaluated using fluorescence stains and image cytometry which were then evaluated against standard plate counts. In addition, lactobacilli were pitched in a standard kettle sour beer fermentation recipe and protocol. Samples were collected every 3 hours to monitor the growth of lactobacilli strains using image cytometry and standard plate counts. Future work will be focused on developing a method to directly measure lactobacilli viability.

# **2. CURRENT METHODS FOR BACTERIA ENUMERATION**

Methods	Description	Known Issues	
pH Monitoring	Measure with pH meter at end of	<ul> <li>Under pitching or growth of Lactobacillus may not be</li> </ul>	
	fermentation ~3.1-3.9	detected immediately	
<b>Colony Formation</b>		<ul> <li>Requires at least 24-48 hours for growth</li> </ul>	
	Serial dilutions of Lactobacillus	<ul> <li>Time consuming (1-4 mins/plate )</li> </ul>	
	samples onto MRS agar dishes	<ul> <li>Low number of particles</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Sample counting variation and operator variation</li> </ul>	
Hemacytometer	Manual counting of Lactobacillus using bright field microscopy	<ul> <li>Time consuming (~10 min/sample)</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Operator dependent error</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Unreliable due to small size of bacteria</li> </ul>	

#### **3. CELLOMETER IMAGE CYTOMETRY INSTRUMENTATION AND PROTOCOL**





	Cellometer X2		Manual Counting		
	Cells/ml	Log10	CFU/ml	Log10	Differences
L. plantarum	4.23E+09	9.63	6.90E+09	9.84	0.21
L. bulgaricus	4.71E+09	9.67	7.10E+09	9.85	0.18
L. brevis	8.32E+08	8.92	6.20E+08	8.79	-0.13

- Results showed differences less than 0.3 Log
- Indicating comparability between Cellometer and manual counting

# **6. KETTLE SOUR FERMENTATION PROTOCOL**





**Pitched 67 million** cells into fermentation vessel 073

leo.chan@revvity.com

**Prepared wort** 

Nexcelom		the second secon	Celiforn		Assay Bacteria 1 chamber a:	
	Stain sample with Syto 9/BC	Pipette 4 μL of stained cells	Tape holes with scotch tape	Insert slide in instrument	Select corresponding assay	
ellometer* X2	Bright Field	Fluorescence	Assay: Bacteria 1 chamber assay Date: 05/15/2019 Cell Type F1: Bacteria w/ Syto 9/BC-1chamber Sample ID: Plantarum1-2-2 Dilution: 2.00			
				Concentrati ========= 4.45x10^8 c	ion Mean Diameter ===== ===============================	
	Bright field and fluorescent images are acquired and analyzed		Im	Images are analyzed and results are automatically displayed		



- Two different strains of *Lactobacillus*
- L. plantarum
- L. bulgaricus

Cellometer X2

- Analyze at 0, 3,6, 9 and 12 hours
- Compared plating and image cytometry methods

## 7. LACTOBACILLUS GROWTH CURVES COMPARISON



**4. LACTOBACILLUS COUNTING COMPARISON PROTOCOL** 



Cellometer X2 automatically counts bacteria using SYTO9, SYTOBC, and SYTOX Green fluorescent stains





- Image cytometry proved effective in measuring the concentration over time (n = 3)
- Plating produced inconsistent counting with high standard deviation (n = 2)
- Image cytometry performed 1 min/sample
- Plating assay required 24-48 hours and 1-4/min for counting per plate

## 8. CONCLUSION

- Image cytometry produces accurate, rapid and consistent counts
- Brewery operators can become more efficient and save time
- Higher accuracy may improve beverage quality



